arXiv:1410.2481v2 [hep-ph] 23 Mar 2015

Viable textures for the fermion sector

A. E. Cárcamo Hernández[1,*] and I. de Medeiros Varzielas[2,3,†]

[1] *Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

[2] *Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstr. 82, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland*

[3] *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, U.K.*

(Dated: March 24, 2015)

We consider a modification of the Fukuyama-Nishiura texture and compare it to the precision quark flavour data, finding that it fits the data very well but at the cost of accidental cancelations between parameters. We then propose different viable textures for quarks, where only the Cabibbo mixing arises from the down sector, and extend to the charged leptons while constructing a complementary neutrino structure that leads to viable lepton masses and mixing.

I. INTRODUCTION

The flavour puzzle is not understood in the context of the Standard Model (SM), which does not specify the Yukawa structures and has no justification for the number of generations. As such, extensions addressing the fermion masses and mixing are particularly appealing.

In building models that address the flavour problem, it is important to know structures that lead to the observed fermion flavour data. This approach was pioneered by [1]. In this work we introduce two proposals.

We start by revisiting the Fukuyama-Nishiura (FN) texture [2–4], which is no longer phenomenologically viable as shown in [5]. A simple modification is to modify the texture slightly by enabling a non-zero 11 entry, which we show is already a viable texture [1].

We then introduce other quark textures where the Cabibbo angle comes from the down quarks whereas the other mixing angles come from the up sector, which also successfully describes the quark masses and mixing. We extend this to the lepton sector, with charged leptons sharing the texture of the down quarks and the neutrinos significantly contributing to a viable PMNS mixing matrix.

Other works in the literature considering textures include [7–9], and some recent works such as [10–12]. A recent and more thorough review of textures can be found e.g. in [13].

II. TEXTURES FOR THE QUARK SECTOR

A. Fukuyama-Nishiura texture and its modification

Proposed for leptons in [2] and also used for quarks in [3, 4], the FN texture consists in mass matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_f & A_f \\ A_f & B_f & C_f \\ A_f & C_f & B_f \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

This texture has only one zero and therefore falls outside the classes described in [13]. In [5] it was shown that this texture doesn't quite work currently, because it fails to reproduce the observed value of the CP violating phase δ. A

* antonio.carcamo@usm.cl

† ivo.de@udo.edu

[1] Note added in proof: the same texture was very recently proposed, but for the neutrinos only, in [6].

simple modification of the texture is to consider

$$\widetilde{M}_f = \begin{pmatrix} D_f & A_f & A_f \\ A_f & B_f & C_f \\ A_f & C_f & B_f \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

with the complex phases included in the following way:

$$M_f = P_f \widetilde{M}_f P_f^\dagger, \qquad P_f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\beta_f} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\gamma_f} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where A_f, B_f, C_f and D_f are real parameters. $\widetilde{M}_f$ is diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix R_f:

$$R_f^T \widetilde{M}_f R_f = diag\left(-m_{f_1}, m_{f_2}, m_{f_3}\right), \tag{4}$$

$$R_f = \begin{pmatrix} c_f & s_f & 0 \\ -\frac{s_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_f}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{s_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad c_f = \sqrt{\frac{m_{f_2} - D_f}{m_{f_2} + m_{f_1}}}, \qquad s_f = \sqrt{\frac{m_{f_1} + D_f}{m_{f_2} + m_{f_1}}}, \tag{5}$$

with fermion masses given by:

$$\begin{aligned} -m_{f_1} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(D_f + B_f + C_f - \sqrt{\left(D_f - C_f - B_f\right)^2 + 8A_f^2}\right), \\ m_{f_2} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(D_f + B_f + C_f + \sqrt{\left(D_f - C_f - B_f\right)^2 + 8A_f^2}\right), \\ m_{f_3} &= B_f - C_f. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

while

$$V = O_U^T P_{UD} O_D = \begin{pmatrix} c_U c_D + \frac{1}{2}s_U s_D\left(e^{i\sigma} + e^{i\tau}\right) & c_U s_D - \frac{1}{2}s_U c_D\left(e^{i\sigma} + e^{i\tau}\right) & \frac{1}{2}s_U\left(e^{i\sigma} - e^{i\tau}\right) \\ s_U c_D - \frac{1}{2}c_U s_D\left(e^{i\sigma} + e^{i\tau}\right) & s_U s_D + \frac{1}{2}c_U c_D\left(e^{i\sigma} + e^{i\tau}\right) & \frac{1}{2}c_U\left(e^{i\tau} - e^{i\sigma}\right) \\ \frac{1}{2}s_D\left(e^{i\sigma} - e^{i\tau}\right) & \frac{1}{2}c_D\left(e^{i\tau} - e^{i\sigma}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\sigma} + e^{i\tau}\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

is the CKM quark mixing matrix,where $P_{UD} = P_U^\dagger P_D = diag\left(1, e^{i\sigma}, e^{i\tau}\right)$, with $\sigma = \beta_U - \beta_D$ and $\tau = \gamma_U - \gamma_D$.

While it is possible to fit our texture parameters to values extrapolated from the data up to high scales (such as the grand unified scale), as we are not considering any specific models we have no control over important details such as threshold corrections, which would affect the values of the masses and CKM entries we would fit to. The CKM entries in particular do not vary much, and CKM mixing angles which involve ratios between CKM entries almost do not vary with scale (see e.g. the analysis for the original FN texture in [4] where the CKM entries vary by 15% and the angles are nearly the scale independent). We therefore choose to fit our texture parameters to the data at the M_Z scale. We use the values of the quark masses at the M_Z scale from [14] shown in Table I (which are similar to those in [15]), we constrained the parameters $A_{u,d}$, $B_{u,d}$ and $C_{u,d}$. We note that in order to get the hierarchy between the masses, accidental cancelations between the parameters are required (particularly in the up quark sector), but that this was already the case for the original FN texture.

We then varied the parameters $D_{u,d}$, σ and τ we fitted the magnitudes of the CKM matrix elements, the CP violating phase and the Jarlskog invariant J to the experimental values shown in Table II. The experimental values of CKM magnitudes and Jarlskog invariant are taken from [16].

To find the values of the parameters that yield physical observables in the quark sector consistent with the experimental data, we minimize the following χ^2 function:

$$\chi^2\left(A_{u,d}, B_{u,d}, C_{u,d}, D_{u,d}, \sigma, \tau\right) = \sum_{\substack{i=u,c,t \\ j=d,s,b}} \left|\left(V_{ij}\right)_{pred} - \left(V_{ij}\right)_{\exp}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{J_{pred} - J_{\exp}}{J_{\exp}}\right)^2 \tag{8}$$

Quark masses	Experimental Value
$m_d(MeV)$	$2.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$
$m_s(MeV)$	$57.7^{+16.8}_{-15.7}$
$m_b(MeV)$	2820^{+90}_{-40}
$m_u(MeV)$	$1.45^{+0.56}_{-0.45}$
$m_c(MeV)$	635 ± 86
$m_t(GeV)$	$172.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$

Table I. Quark masses at the M_Z scale [14].

Observable	Modified FN texture	Experimental Value
$\lvert V_{ud}\rvert$	0.974	0.97427 ± 0.00015
$\lvert V_{us}\rvert$	0.225	0.22534 ± 0.00065
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert$	0.00351	$0.00351^{+0.00015}_{-0.00014}$
$\lvert V_{cd}\rvert$	0.225	0.22520 ± 0.00065
$\lvert V_{cs}\rvert$	0.973	0.97344 ± 0.00016
$\lvert V_{cb}\rvert$	0.0412	$0.0412^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005}$
$\lvert V_{td}\rvert$	0.00867	$0.00867^{+0.00029}_{-0.00031}$
$\lvert V_{ts}\rvert$	0.0404	$0.0404^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005}$
$\lvert V_{tb}\rvert$	0.999	$0.999146^{+0.000021}_{-0.000046}$
J	2.96×10^{-5}	$(2.96^{+0.20}_{-0.16}) \times 10^{-5}$
δ	69.2°	68°

Table II. Comparison of our fit for the modified FN texture to the experimental CKM magnitudes, CP violating phase and Jarlskog invariant.

Our results, for which the $\chi^2\left(A_{u,d}, B_{u,d}, C_{u,d}, D_{u,d}, \sigma, \tau\right)$ function takes its minimum value 3.8×10^{-7}, correspond to the following parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} &A_u = 3.80 \times 10^{-2} GeV, && B_u = 86.37 GeV, && C_u = -85.73 GeV, \\ &D_u = 3.13 \times 10^{-3} GeV, && \sigma = 87.9^\circ, && \\ &A_d = 8.79 \times 10^{-3} GeV, && B_d = 1.44 GeV, && C_d = -1.38 GeV, \\ &D_d = -2.35 \times 10^{-4} GeV, && \tau = 92.6^\circ. && \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The obtained magnitudes of the CKM matrix elements, the CP violating phase and the Jarlskog invariant are in excellent agreement with the experimental data. The required cancelation which we mentioned before is apparent e.g. in the values for B_f and C_f.

B. Mixing inspired textures

Given that the modified FN textures lead to very good fits but suffer the problem of requiring accidental cancelations, it is useful to obtain alternative viable textures that have a similar $M_f M_f^\dagger$, and would therefore also reproduce the CKM mixing well (note that as M_f is symmetric in both the original and modified FN textures, M_f and $M_f M_f^\dagger$ share the same structure). For this reason we consider now the following Mixing Inspired (MI) textures, where we consider non-zero off-diagonal entries leading to the Cabibbo angle in the down sector, and for the ups leading to the

remaining (small) mixing angles:

$$M_U = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1\lambda^8 & 0 & a_1\lambda^3 \\ 0 & b_1\lambda^4 & a_2\lambda^2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M_D = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_1\lambda^7 & f_1\lambda^6 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2\lambda^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1\lambda^3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $\lambda = 0.225$ is one of the Wolfenstein parameters, $v = 246$ GeV the symmetry breaking scale and a_k $(k = 1, 2, 3)$, b_1, c_1, g_1, f_1, f_2, e_1 are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ parameters. From comparison with the Wolfenstein parameterisation, we conclude that most dimensionless parameters given in Eq. (10) can be real, excepting a_1.

These M_f matrices are not Hermitian, and therefore fall outside the classes considered in [13]. Furthermore, as we have discussed above, we have obtained these (non-symmetric) M_f matrices from attempting a specific generalisation of the modified FN textures discussed in the previous section. Although the result turns out to be somewhat similar to the (symmetric) mass matrices that [8] refers to as natural mass matrices, our MI textures are nevertheless distinct from the textures considered therein.

In order to obtain the quark masses and CKM mixing we diagonalize the Hermitian quantities $M_U M_U^\dagger$ and $M_D M_D^\dagger$. Therefore, the up and down type quark masses are approximately given by:

$$m_u \simeq c_1\lambda^8 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad m_c \simeq b_1\lambda^4 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad m_t \simeq a_3 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}},$$
$$m_d \simeq \frac{e_1\lambda^7}{\sqrt{2}} v, \qquad m_s \simeq f_2\lambda^5 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad m_b \simeq g_1\lambda^3 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{11}$$

It is noteworthy that Eq. (11) provide an elegant understanding of all SM quark masses in terms of the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda = 0.225$ and of parameters of order unity. Note that all physical parameters in the quark sector are linked with the electroweak symmetry breaking scale $v = 246$ GeV through their scalings by powers of the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda = 0.225$, with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients.

The Wolfenstein parameterisation [17] of the CKM matrix is:

$$V_W \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

with

$$\lambda = 0.22535 \pm 0.00065, \qquad A = 0.811^{+0.022}_{-0.012}, \tag{13}$$
$$\overline{\rho} = 0.131^{+0.026}_{-0.013}, \qquad \overline{\eta} = 0.345^{+0.013}_{-0.014}, \tag{14}$$
$$\overline{\rho} \simeq \rho\left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right), \qquad \overline{\eta} \simeq \eta\left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right). \tag{15}$$

From the comparison with (12), we find:

$$a_3 \simeq 1, \qquad a_2 \simeq A, \qquad a_1 \simeq -A\sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2}\, e^{i\delta}, \tag{16}$$
$$b_1 \simeq \frac{m_c}{\lambda^4 m_t} \simeq 1.43, \qquad c_1 \simeq \frac{m_u}{\lambda^8 m_t} \simeq 1.27, \tag{17}$$

note that a_1 is required to be complex.

We fit the parameters e_1, f_1, f_2 and g_1 in Eq. (10) to reproduce the down type quark masses and quark mixing parameters. The results for the CKM matrix elements, the Jarlskog invariant J and the CP violating phase δ in Tables III and IV correspond to the best fit values:

$$e_1 \simeq 0.6, \qquad f_1 \simeq 0.59, \qquad f_2 \simeq 0.57, \qquad g_1 \simeq 1.42. \tag{18}$$

The experimental values of the CKM magnitudes and the Jarlskog invariant are taken from Ref. [16]. As can

Observable	MI textures	Experimental Value
$m_u(MeV)$	1.47	$1.45^{+0.56}_{-0.45}$
$m_c(MeV)$	641	635 ± 86
$m_t(GeV)$	172.2	$172.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$
$m_d(MeV)$	3.00	$2.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$
$m_s(MeV)$	59.2	$57.7^{+16.8}_{-15.7}$
$m_b(GeV)$	2.82	$2.82^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$
$m_e(MeV)$	0.487	0.487
$m_\mu(MeV)$	102.8	102.8 ± 0.0003
$m_\tau(GeV)$	1.75	1.75 ± 0.0003

Table III. MI textures and experimental values of charged fermion masses.

Obs.	Wolfenstein	MI textures	Experimental
$\left\|V_{ud}\right\|$	0.9746	0.9743	0.97427 ± 0.00015
$\left\|V_{us}\right\|$	0.22535	0.2253	0.22534 ± 0.00065
$\left\|V_{ub}\right\|$	0.00868	0.00351	$0.00351^{+0.00015}_{-0.00014}$
$\left\|V_{cd}\right\|$	0.22535	0.22501	0.22520 ± 0.00065
$\left\|V_{cs}\right\|$	0.9746	0.97349	0.97344 ± 0.00016
$\left\|V_{cb}\right\|$	0.0412	0.0411	$0.0412^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005}$
$\left\|V_{td}\right\|$	0.00342	0.0110	$0.00867^{+0.00029}_{-0.00031}$
$\left\|V_{ts}\right\|$	0.0412	0.0398	$0.0404^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005}$
$\left\|V_{tb}\right\|$	1	0.999147	$0.999146^{+0.000021}_{-0.000046}$
J	2.90×10^{-5}	2.94×10^{-5}	$(2.96^{+0.20}_{-0.16}) \times 10^{-5}$
δ	69°	68°	68°

Table IV. Wolfenstein, MI textures and experimental values of CKM parameters.

be seen, the quark masses and the CKM matrix obtained from these textures are in excellent agreement with the experimental data. The agreement with the experimental data is as good as in the models of Refs. [10] and better than, for example, those in Refs. [11],

Given the success of these textures, it would be interesting to obtain them as the consequence of a flavour symmetry model which could explain the differing powers of λ and the texture zeros. Nonetheless, the derivation of these textures in the context of a flavour model is beyond the scope of this work.

III. LEPTON SECTOR

Within the context of a flavour symmetry model, if the charged leptons have similar assignments as the quarks, it would be natural that they share also a similar texture. In order to check if such an idea is viable, we extend the texture we used for the down quarks to the charged leptons:

$$M_l = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1\lambda^8 & y_1\lambda^6 & 0 \\ 0 & y_2\lambda^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_3\lambda^3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, $M_l M_l^\dagger$ can be approximately diagonalized by a rotation matrix R_l according to:

$$R_l^T M_l M_l^\dagger R_l \simeq \begin{pmatrix} m_e^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_l \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma & 0 \\ -\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The charged lepton masses are approximately:

$$m_e \simeq x_1 \lambda^8 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad m_\mu \simeq y_2 \lambda^5 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad m_\tau \simeq z_3 \lambda^3 \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{20}$$

Note the remarkable feature that charged lepton masses are linked with the scale of electroweak symmetry breaking $v = 246$ GeV through their power dependence on the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda = 0.225$, with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients.

In order to extend the MI textures to the lepton sector we also consider a neutrino structure, within a type I seesaw implementation, with a 6×6 neutrino mass matrix:

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{3\times 3} & M_\nu^D \\ \left(M_\nu^D\right)^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nu^D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \varepsilon_{12}^{(\nu)} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \lambda \varepsilon_{21}^{(\nu)} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda \varepsilon_{31}^{(\nu)} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} & \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{32}^{(\nu)} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ b & d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_R &= \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

With $(M_R)_{ii} >> v$, the light neutrino mass matrix is given by the type I seesaw formula:

$$M_L = M_\nu^D M_R^{-1} \left(M_\nu^D\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 p & 0 & cdp \\ 0 & a^2 w & abw \\ cdp & abw & wb^2 + pd^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 & C \\ 0 & A & B \\ C & B & \frac{B^2}{A} + \frac{C^2}{D} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

Varying the parameters A, B, C, D and γ we fitted Δm_{21}^2, Δm_{31}^2 (note that we define $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$), $\sin^2\theta_{12}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ to the experimental values [18] in Table V for the normal hierarchy neutrino mass spectrum. The best fit result is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m_{21}^2 &= 7.62 \times 10^{-5} eV^2, \qquad \Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.55 \times 10^{-3} eV^2, \\ m_{\nu_1} &= 0, \qquad m_{\nu_2} \simeq 9meV, \qquad m_{\nu_3} \simeq 50meV, \qquad \gamma \simeq -0.035\pi, \\ \sin^2\theta_{12} &= 0.32, \qquad \sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.0246, \qquad \sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.613, \\ A &\simeq 3.35 \times 10^{-2} eV, \qquad B \simeq 2.17 \times 10^{-2} eV, \\ C &\simeq 5.38 \times 10^{-3} eV, \qquad D \simeq 3.60 \times 10^{-3} eV. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Comparing Eq (24) with Table V we see that the mass squared splittings Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{31}^2 and mixing parameters $\sin^2\theta_{12}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ are in excellent agreement with the experimental data. Note that here we considered all leptonic parameters to be real for simplicity, but a non-vanishing CP phase in the PMNS mixing matrix can be generated by making e.g. y_1 or y_2 complex.

Parameter	$\Delta m_{21}^2 (10^{-5}\mathrm{eV}^2)$	$\Delta m_{31}^2 (10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2)$	$\left(\sin^2\theta_{12}\right)_{\mathrm{exp}}$	$\left(\sin^2\theta_{23}\right)_{\mathrm{exp}}$	$\left(\sin^2\theta_{13}\right)_{\mathrm{exp}}$
Best fit	7.62	2.55	0.320	0.613	0.0246
1σ range	$7.43 - 7.81$	$2.46 - 2.61$	$0.303 - 0.336$	$0.573 - 0.635$	$0.0218 - 0.0275$
2σ range	$7.27 - 8.01$	$2.38 - 2.68$	$0.29 - 0.35$	$0.38 - 0.66$	$0.019 - 0.030$
3σ range	$7.12 - 8.20$	$2.31 - 2.74$	$0.27 - 0.37$	$0.36 - 0.68$	

Table V. Experimental ranges of leptonic mixing parameters from [18] for the case of normal hierarchy.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied two sets of textures. We started with a simple modification of the Fukuyama-Nishiura texture and showed that the extra parameter makes it viable in the quark sector. The CKM mixing is fitted very well, but the quark mass hierarchy can only be obtained through accidental cancelations of the parameters (a problem it shares with the original Fukuyama-Nishiura texture). With the aim of preserving the overall structure that led to the good fit to CKM mixing, we then considered mixing inspired textures based on the idea that the Cabibbo mixing originates from the down sector whereas the up sector contributes to the remaining mixing angles. From the mixing inspired textures we are able to obtain a very good fit to the quark masses and CKM mixing without requiring accidental cancelations of the texture parameters. Finally we extended the mixing inspired texture to the leptons: by reusing the down quark texture in the charged lepton sector, and proposing a compatible texture for the neutrinos, such that we obtain the observed leptonic mass and mixing parameters. As such these textures represent a good starting point for a flavour model (under which the charged leptons and down quarks could transform similarly).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation. This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no PIEF-GA-2012-327195 SIFT. This project was also supported by Fondecyt (Chile), Grant No. 11130115 and by DGIP internal Grant No. 111458. A.E.C.H thanks University of Basel for hospitality and for partially financing his visits. The visits of AECH to the University of Basel were also financed by Fondecyt (Chile), Grant No. 11130115 and by DGIP internal Grant No. 111458.

[1] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B **70**, 436 (1977), Phys. Lett. B **73**, 317 (1978), Nucl. Phys. B **155**, 189 (1979); H. Fritzsch and J. Planck, Phys. Lett. B **237**, 451 (1990).

[2] T. Fukuyama and H. Nishiura, hep-ph/9702253; in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Masses and Mixings of Quarks and Leptons, Shizuoka, Japan, 1997, edited by Y. Koide (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998), p. 252.

[3] K. Matsuda, T. Fukuyama and H. Nishiura, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 053001 (2000) [hep-ph/9906433]; H. Nishiura, K. Matsuda, T. Kikuchi and T. Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 097301 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0202189].

[4] K. Matsuda and H. Nishiura, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 053005 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309272].

[5] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez and J. A. Rodriguez, Eur. Phys. J. C **50**, 935 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0606190], AIP Conf. Proc. **1026** (2008) 272.

[6] J. Gehrlein, J. P. Oppermann, D. Schaefer and M. Spinrath, arXiv:1410.2057 [hep-ph].

[7] D. s. Du and Z. z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2349 (1993); H. Fritzsch and Z. z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **353**, 114 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9502297], Nucl. Phys. B **556**, 49 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9904286], Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 1 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9912358]; Phys. Lett. B **555**, 63 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0212195].

[8] R. D. Peccei and K. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2712 (1996) [hep-ph/9509242].

[9] G. C. Branco, L. Lavoura and F. Mota, Phys. Rev. D **39** (1989) 3443; R. Barbieri, G. R. Dvali, A. Strumia, Z. Berezhiani and L. J. Hall, Nucl. Phys. B **432**, 49 (1994) [hep-ph/9405428]; R. G. Roberts, A. Romanino, G. G. Ross and L. Velasco-Sevilla, Nucl. Phys. B **615** (2001) 358 [hep-ph/0104088]; G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo and J. I. Silva-Marcos, Phys. Lett. B **597** (2004) 155 [hep-ph/0403016]; I. de Medeiros Varzielas and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **733** (2006) 31 [hep-ph/0507176].

[10] G. C. Branco, D. Emmanuel-Costa and C. Simoes, Phys. Lett. B **690** (2010) 62 [arXiv:1001.5065 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and R. Rahman, arXiv:1007.0447 [hep-ph]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, C. O. Dib, N. Neill H and A. R. Zerwekh, JHEP **1202**, 132 (2012) [arXiv:1201.0878 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez and F. Ochoa, arXiv:1309.6567 [hep-ph]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez and J. Nisperuza, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 2, 72 (2015) [arXiv:1401.0937 [hep-ph]]; M. D. Campos, A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, I. Schmidt and E. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 016006 (2014) [arXiv:1403.2525 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko and I. Schmidt, arXiv:1411.2913 [hep-ph]; H. Ishimori, S. F. King, H. Okada and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:1411.5845 [hep-ph].

[11] Z. -z. Xing, D. Yang and S. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 304 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4234 [hep-ph]]; G. C. Branco, H. R. C. Ferreira, A. G. Hessler and J. I. Silva-Marcos, JHEP **1205**, 001 (2012) [arXiv:1101.5808 [hep-ph]]; G. Bhattacharyya, I. de Medeiros Varzielas and P. Leser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 241603 (2012) [arXiv:1210.0545 [hep-ph]]; S. F. King, S. Morisi, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **724**, 68 (2013) [arXiv:1301.7065 [hep-ph]]; V. V. Vien and H. N. Long, arXiv:1408.4333 [hep-ph]. C. Bonilla, S. Morisi, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1411.4883 [hep-ph]; Y. H. Ahn, arXiv:1410.1634 [hep-ph].

[12] A. G. Dias, A. C. B. Machado and C. C. Nishi, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 093005 (2012) [arXiv:1206.6362 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez and F. Ochoa, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 7, 075009 (2013) [arXiv:1302.1757 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S. G. Kovalenko, H. Paes and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 7, 076014 (2013) [arXiv:1307.6499 [hep-ph]]; H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 5, 053008 (2014) [arXiv:1311.4360 [hep-ph]]; R. Martinez, F. Ochoa and J. P. Rubio, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 5, 056008 (2014) [arXiv:1303.2734 [hep-ph]]; S. F. King, JHEP **1408** (2014) 130 [arXiv:1406.7005 [hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, E. C. Mur and R. Martinez, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 073001 (2014) [arXiv:1407.5217[hep-ph]]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and R. Martinez, arXiv:1501.05937 [hep-ph]; A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and R. Martinez, arXiv:1501.07261 [hep-ph].

[13] M. Gupta and G. Ahuja, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1230033 (2012) [arXiv:1302.4823 [hep-ph]].

[14] K. Bora, arXiv:1206.5909 [hep-ph].

[15] Z. z. Xing, H. Zhang and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 113016 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1419 [hep-ph]].

[16] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).

[17] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983).

[18] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 073012 (2012) [arXiv:1205.4018 [hep-ph]].